



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 5 B208-062-DIV YAMAGATA 08/926,882 09/10/97 **EXAMINER** WM02/1205 026272 CANON KABUSHIKI TRAN, T C/O ROBIN LECKER & DALEY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 330 MADISON AVENUE 2615 NEW YORK NY 10017 DATE MAILED: 12/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/926,882

Yamagata et al

Examiner

Thai Tran

Group Art Unit 2615



TΗ	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗀	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) [	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	data an	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Nov 14, 2000</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plican t is NC	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 14, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, o'T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	X wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	□ Aı	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	
	Newl	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	separ	rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X		Affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
		attachment
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the inner in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	ns allowed:
		ns objected to:
	Claim	ns rejected: <u>32-37 and 45-48</u>
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Other	
_	- ··· •	THAI TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

**Advisory Action** 

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 14, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

This is responsive to the Request for Telephone Interview filed Nov. 14, 2000.

A telephone call was made to Mr. John J. Torrente on Dec. 1, 2000 to schedule a telephone interview but did not result in an interview because Mr. Torrente was not in his Office. After receiving this Office Action, the applicants' undersigned attorney is encouraged to telephone the examiner at 703-305-4725 to arrange such interview.

The applicants' arguments in the remarks of the amendment filed Nov. 14, 2000 have been addressed in the Final Office Action. It is noted that the claimed ID data is anticipated by the character video data such as titles or time indications.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4725.

TTQ

December 1, 2000